

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/529,214	03/25/2005	Harald Karl Gretsch	Wi.2061 PCT-US	4771
7590 10/17/2006			EXAMINER	
Douglas R Hanscom			HAMDAN, WASSEEM H	
Jones Tullar & Cooper P O Box 2266			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Eads Station			2854	
Arlington, VA	22202		DATE MAILED: 10/17/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summer:	10/529,214	GRETSCH ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Wasseem H. Hamdan	2854				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 S	entember 2006					
,	action is non-final.					
,—						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>39-58 and 66-77</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>59-65</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>39, 40, 41-58, 66-76 and 77</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 March 2005</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	taminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P1O-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/19/06; 3/25/05. Attachment(s) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of Group I (claims 39, 40-58, 66-76) is acknowledged. Claims 59-65 are withdrawn from consideration. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the election of species requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)). The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. For claim 39, the controlling step in case of a deviation from a selected tension level, outputs at least one preset value for a web tension to at least one of the second, local control processes, by means of which the tension in the individual webs by itself is controlled by means of at least one actuating member must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered. For claims 66 and 77, the measured values of the web tension of a single web and a preset value for the local control device on the basis of measured values of the web tension generated by global control device, i.e. the three claimed measured values that control the web tension must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet,

Application/Control Number: 10/529,214

Art Unit: 2854

even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Page 3

3. The drawings are objected to because in Fig. 2, the values feeding box 19 represented by the line between 18.1 and 18.2 does not show where it is coming from and/or the source of the data. Similarly the "Actual Value Total Process" line data coming out of box 19 does not show where it is going to. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be

Application/Control Number: 10/529,214 Page 4

Art Unit: 2854

necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

- 4. Claims 71 and 72 are objected to because of the following informalities: claims 71 and 72 depend from each other. Appropriate correction is required.
- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claims 39-58 and 66-73 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claims 39-58 and 66-73, it is not clear what and how are the steps and elements and/or components in the claimed controlling web tension method and apparatus.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

7. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Art Unit: 2854

8. Claims 39, 40, 41-58, 66-76 and 77 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

The measured value S1.3 disclosed in the specification on page 13, section [021] and page 16 and 17 sections [025] and [026] does not correctly represented by Fig. 3, because Fig. 3, shows that the four values of S1.3 in and out of 18.1 and 19 are all the same value. Similarly for the values S1.3a and S1.3b. There is a contradiction between the specification and drawings about how the web tension is being controlled with the S values in and out of the local control process and the global control process being the same values and the flow of the data is being inconsistent.

For example claim 77, recites "providing a global tension control process for controlling (a) total web tension in said web strand comprising at least first and second separate webs and (b) relative web tension between said first and second separate webs; wherein said global tension control process is responsive to said first web tension measurement value, said second web tension measurement value and said total web tension in said web strand;

generating, in said global tension control process, a relative tension comparison control value in response to said first web tension measurement value, said second web tension measurement value and said total web tension in said web strand; said relative tension comparison control value being set, initially, at a global preset relative tension value; out-putting, from said global tension control process, at least one relative tension comparison control value to one of said first and second local control processes in response to the measured web tension in

one of said first and second separate webs deviating from a selected tension level; and controlling web tension in said at least first and second separate webs using at least one of said traction elements" in lines 25-40, it was not clear and hard to follow the specification pages (related) 13-18 along with Fig, 3. How the mention above claim language do have support for specification pages 13-18 in view of Fig, 3?

Therefore, it is not clear and contradicting (between Fig. 2, specification on page 13, section [021] and page 16 and 17 sections [025] and [026] and Fig. 2) how the global tension control process is responsive to the first web tension measurement value, the second web tension measurement value and the total web tension in the web strand.

Therefore no prior art can be applied because of the ambiguity and the contradiction between the claims, specification and drawings as set forth in the office action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Wasseem H. Hamdan whose telephone number is (571) 272-2166. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (first Friday off) 6:30 AM- 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Judy Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-2258. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/529,214 Page 7

Art Unit: 2854

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Wasseem H Hamdan Examiner Art Unit 2854

WH October 10, 2006

JUDY NGUYEN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER